

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]@thehills.nsw.gov.au>

**Subject:** RE: (ECM:18907100) RE: RE: The Hills LEP 2019 (Am No 6)

Hi [REDACTED]

The revised wording is fine so long as the Department is confident that the term access road will not be misinterpreted as an actual road connecting the northern and southern frontages of Garthowen Crescent.

Regards,  
[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]@thehills.nsw.gov.au

Administration Centre, 3 Columbia Court Norwest NSW 2153  
PO Box 7064, NORWEST NSW 2153 | DX 9966 Norwest  
[www.thehills.nsw.gov.au](http://www.thehills.nsw.gov.au)

---

[REDACTED]@planning.nsw.gov.au]

**Subject:** RE: (ECM:18907100) RE: RE: The Hills LEP 2019 (Am No 6)

Hi [REDACTED]

As discussed, please see attached final instrument and new PC opinion. The former (d) and (e) subclauses have now been combined into (d). The subclause still refers to access roads. It is understood from DPIE Legal that vehicular through-site links are access roads and we need to use that terminology. I don't think this is an issue as it shouldn't impact the development outcome intended being vehicular access points from both road frontages into the basement parking area.

Subclause (e) (former subclause (f)) now refers to buildings rather than 'land'.

Kind Regards,  
[REDACTED]